

USDA Foreign Agricultural Service

GAIN Report

Global Agricultural Information Network

THIS REPORT CONTAINS ASSESSMENTS OF COMMODITY AND TRADE ISSUES MADE BY
USDA STAFF AND NOT NECESSARILY STATEMENTS OF OFFICIAL U.S. GOVERNMENT
POLICY

Required Report - public distribution

Date: 6/29/2011

GAIN Report Number: AS1120

Australia

Agricultural Biotechnology Annual

2011

Approved By:

Grant Pettrie, Agricultural Counselor

Prepared By:

Lindy Crothers, Agricultural Marketing Specialist

Report Highlights:

The moratoria on planting of canola varieties which were in place for a number of years after GM canola was approved for commercial release in Australia were lifted in 2008 in NSW and Victoria and the first commercial plantings of canola took place that year. Western Australia lifted its moratoria on GM crops in November 2008 to allow GM cotton to be grown in the Ord River region and in April 2009 allowed trials of GM canola. In early 2010, WA passed legislation to allow commercial plantings of canola. This led to a more than trebling in area planted to GM canola in Australia in 2010 to 133,330 hectares, representing 8.3 percent of the total Australian canola crop. Total plantings of GM varieties in 2011 will be approximately 141,000 ha with another large increase in Western Australia. The moratoria remain in place in South Australia, Tasmania and the ACT.

Section I: Executive Summary

The United States has substantial interest in Australia's policies and regulatory framework regarding agricultural biotechnology and products derived thereof because of the impact this has on the ability of the U.S. to export to Australia. Unprocessed (whole) biotech corn and soybeans have not received regulatory approval in Australia and, thus, cannot be imported without further processing. Foods with biotech content of over 1 percent must receive prior approval and be labeled. This requirement can restrict sales of U.S. intermediate and processed products. Australia's policies and views on this technology influence other countries in the region, and elsewhere, which may follow Australia's lead in developing a regulatory system of their own.

The biotech debate is very important in Australia. The federal government is very supportive of the technology, has committed considerable long-term funding to research and development, and has approved genetically modified (GM) canola varieties for general release. The State governments have also committed funds for research and development, but most were more cautious about the introduction of the technology and most Australian states initially put in place moratoria on new plantings of biotechnology crops. After state-level reviews in November 2007, New South Wales and Victoria lifted the moratoria on genetically engineered canola. In November 2008, Western Australia lifted its ban to allow biotech cotton to be grown in the Ord River region and in April 2009 announced that trials of GM canola would be allowed. In early 2010, WA passed legislation allowing the commercial production of GM canola in that state. South Australia, Tasmania and the Australian Capital Territory (ACT) have maintained their moratoria. Major farm groups and the Commonwealth government's science organizations do not support this position and have argued openly for acceptance of biotech crops. Currently in Australia, about 95 percent of the cotton planted is from biotech varieties, which were approved for release prior to the state moratoria. Although GM cotton varieties dominate the cotton industry in Australia, the state moratoria slowed the commercialization and adoption of the technology for food crops.

Australia has a substantial risk assessment based regulatory framework for dealings with gene technology and genetically modified organisms, as well as a process for assessment and approval of genetically modified foods. The Gene Technology Act of 2000 established Australia's regulatory scheme for dealings with gene technology and genetically modified organisms (GMOs). The Commonwealth's Gene Technology Regulator serves the key role in assessing, regulating and licensing GMOs and enforcing license conditions. Genetically modified foods must also be assessed, determined to be safe, and be approved before being sold for human consumption. The standards for such foods are developed by Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) and are contained in the Food Standards Code. There are labeling requirements for genetically modified foods containing modified genetic material and/or novel protein, and for foods with altered characteristics. Imports of viable GMOs and food products containing genetically modified ingredients need to meet these same regulations.

To date, biotech cotton, canola and rose varieties are the only agricultural crops approved for commercial release into the environment in Australia. A full list of the various varieties and traits approved for commercial release can be found on the OGTR website at the bottom of [this page](#). With the lifting of the moratoria in New South Wales, Victoria and Western Australia, plantings of GM canola are expected to continue to increase rapidly. Research is being conducted on other biotech crops, with field trials controlled by the OGTR being conducted on some, e.g. Indian mustard, wheat, sugarcane, white clover, grapevines, pineapple, papaya, canola and cotton (see Appendix II). Approval has already been granted for food products derived from biotech corn, cotton, soybean, sugar beet, potatoes, alfalfa and rice (see Appendix III).

For GMOs that have not received regulatory approval in Australia, U.S. export opportunities are obviously restricted. For the United States, the commercial impact of this constraint is most pronounced for feed grains, e.g. whole corn, and soybeans as these products have not yet received regulatory approval. In addition to this market access restriction, Australia does not allow the importation of many grains and/or grain products for phytosanitary reasons, citing the need to limit exotic weed seeds.

Australia requires that food products derived from GMOs, if they contain more than one percent of biotech product, get prior approval from Food Standards Australia New Zealand before they can be sold. Such products must also be labeled to indicate that they contain biotech products.

Section II: Plant Biotechnology Trade and Production

Commercial Crops

Biotech cotton, canola and roses are the only crops approved for commercial release by Australia's Gene Technology Regulator. It is estimated that biotech cotton varieties are grown on up to 95 percent of Australia's cotton area. The Regulator approved the commercial releases of two biotech canola varieties in 2003. With the lifting of the moratoria in New South Wales and Victoria in early 2008, that was the first year that GM canola was grown commercially anywhere in the country. In November 2008, Western Australia lifted its ban to allow biotech cotton to be grown in the Ord River region and in April 2009 announced that trials of GM canola would be allowed at 20 sites in that state.

Biotech carnations became the first biotech products to be assessed by the Gene Technology Regulator to "pose minimal risks to people or the environment, and are sufficiently safe to be used by anyone without the need for a license" and they have accordingly been placed on the GMO Register.

Biotech Cotton

Biotech cotton has been grown commercially in Australia since the approval and introduction of the first GM variety in 1996. Currently, around 95 percent of the Australian cotton crop is made up of GM varieties. In addition, there are a number of new biotech cotton varieties currently being developed (see Appendix II at the end of this report).

Australian food standards require approval and labeling of food or food ingredients that contain new genetic material or protein or have altered characteristics as a result of gene modification. Refined oil from biotech cottonseed, however, does not require a label because the oil contains no genetic material and the cottonseed oil is identical to conventional cottonseed oil.

Canola

Since 2003 a number of biotech canola varieties have been approved by OGTR. The first commercial plantings of these varieties took place in 2008 after the state governments in NSW and Victoria lifted their moratoria on commercial plantings of GM canola and in 2009 Western Australia also allowed trials to begin. In 2010, just the third year of commercial availability in NSW and Victoria and the first year of commercial production in Western Australia, it was estimated that farmers planted a total area of approximately 133,330 hectares of Roundup Ready canola varieties - 8.3 percent of total area planted to all canola varieties in Australia in that year and more than three times the total area planted in 2009, with a huge uptake of the technology in Western Australia. Industry sources report that total plantings of GM varieties in 2011 will be approximately 141,000 ha with another large increase in Western Australia (91,000 ha, up from 70,000 ha in 2010).

Applications under Evaluation

A list of GMO applications currently under evaluation by OGTR is contained in Appendix I of this report.

Imported Products

Under the Gene Technology Act 2000, approval or authorization must be obtained to deal with genetically modified organisms. This means that the importation of live, viable GMOs, are regulated under the Act. Importers need to apply to OGTR for a license or authorization to import any GMO into Australia. OGTR and the Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service (AQIS) work closely to regulate and enforce this situation. The AQIS application form for an import permit contains a section relating to the genetically modified status of the product.

Foods containing biotech materials must be approved by Food Standards Australia New Zealand and be labeled if the biotech content is greater than 1% before they can be sold in Australia. This applies to all domestically produced and imported food. A list of currently approved biotech food products is contained in Appendix III of this report.

Processed animal feeds, such as soy meal, are not covered by biotech legislation in Australia. These products, therefore, do not require prior approval or a license (see Section III of this report) to be imported. There are, however, quarantine restrictions on some products. Unprocessed biotech products imported as feed (i.e. whole grain, etc), would require a license from OGTR, as there is a possibility that seed could be released into the environment.

Products Developed Outside U.S.

GM crops grown in Australia have been developed in Australia (see Appendices I & II for list of crops & their developers). Given that most of Australia's cotton products come from GM varieties, it is likely that any exports of cotton & cotton products would contain these varieties. Australia does not export cotton to the U.S. but in 2009, Australia exported 22.5 MT of cotton seed to the U.S. (tariff code 1207.20). There is no way of knowing for certain whether this seed was from GM or non-GM varieties.

Section III: Plant Biotechnology Policy

The GMO Regulatory System

The Gene Technology Act 2000 (the Act) came into force on June 21, 2001 as the Commonwealth component of a national regulatory scheme. The Act and the associated Gene Technology Regulations 2001, provide a comprehensive process for the Gene Technology Regulator to assess proposed dealings with live and viable GMOs ranging from contained work in certified laboratories to general releases of GMOs into the environment, and extensive powers to monitor and enforce license conditions. An Inter-Governmental Agreement, between the Commonwealth and the states and territories, underpins the system for regulating genetically modified organisms in Australia. The Ministerial Council for Gene Technology, comprising ministers from the Commonwealth and each state and territory, oversees the regulatory framework and provides advice to the Gene Technology Regulator on policy principles to assist in decision-making. The individual states and territories have passed or are developing complimentary legislation to the Gene Technology Act in their jurisdictions.

The object of the Gene Technology Act is: "To protect the health and safety of people, and to protect the environment, by identifying risks posed by or as a result of gene technology, and by managing those risks through regulating certain dealings with genetically modified organisms."

The Act prohibits all dealings with GMOs unless the dealing is:

- A licensed dealing;
- A notifiable low risk dealing;
- Exempt dealing; or
- Included on the GMO Register.

Key features of the Act are the appointment of an independent Gene Technology Regulator and a requirement for transparent and accountable implementation. The Regulator administers the regulation of all dealings with GMOs in Australia, in accordance with the Act and ensures compliance with the conditions of any approvals. The Regulator consults extensively with the community, research institutions and private enterprise.

The Gene Technology Regulator liaises with other regulatory agencies to coordinate the approval of biotech products for use and sale (see table below). The Act creates a Public Record of GMO Dealings and GM Products that resides on the OGTR website: www.ogtr.gov.au.

Regulatory Agencies in Australia with a Role in Regulation of Gene Technology

Agency	What They Regulate	Scope	Relevant Legislation
OGTR – Office of the Gene Technology Regulatory (supporting the Gene Technology Regulator)	Dealings with GMOs	The Gene Technology Regulator administers a national scheme for the regulation of GMOs in Australia in order to protect health & safety of people, and to protect the environment, by identifying risks posed by or as a result of gene technology, and by managing those risks through regulating certain dealings with GMOs.	Gene Technology Act 2000
TGA – Therapeutic Goods Administration	Medicines, medical devices, blood & tissues	TGA administers legislation that provides a national framework for the regulation of medicines, medical devices, blood and tissues in Australia, including GM & GM-derived therapeutic products, & ensures their quality, safety & efficacy.	Therapeutic Goods Act 1989

FSANZ – Food Standards Australia & New Zealand	Food	FSANZ is responsible for setting standards for the safety, content and labeling of food. FSANZ conducts mandatory pre-market safety assessments for food produced using gene technology.	Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991
APVMA – Australian Pesticides & Veterinary Medicines Authority	Agricultural & Veterinary Chemicals	APVMA operates the national system that regulates all agricultural chemicals (including those produced or used on GM crops) and veterinary therapeutic products. Assessments consider human and environmental safety, product efficacy (including insecticide and herbicide resistance management), and trade issues relating to residues	Agricultural & Veterinary Chemicals (Code) Act 1994 Agricultural & Veterinary Chemicals Administration Act 1994
NICNAS – National Industrial Chemicals Notification & Assessment Scheme	Industrial Chemicals	NICNAS provides a national notification & assessment scheme to protect the health of the public, workers & the environment from the harmful effects of industrial chemicals.	Industrial Chemicals (Notification & Assessment) Act 1989
AQIS – Australian Quarantine & Inspection Service	Quarantine	AQIS regulates the importation into Australia of all animal, plant & biological products that may pose a quarantine pest &/or disease risk. Import permit applications must indicate the presence of GMOs or GM material and the relevant authorization under the Gene Technology Act 2000.	Quarantine Act 1908 Imported Food Control Act 1992

The Act also establishes three committees to advise the Regulator and the Ministerial Council:

- The Gene Technology Technical Advisory Committee (GTTAC) – a group of highly qualified experts who provide scientific and technical advice on applications;
- The Gene Technology Ethics Committee (GTEC) – a group of expert ethicists, which provides ethical advice, particularly in the areas of law, religious practices, animal welfare and population health; and
- The Gene Technology Community Consultative Committee (GTCCC) – a group of people representing the broad interests within the Australian community, including consumers, researchers, and environmentalists. This group looks beyond the science of gene technology to matters of general concern to the community in relation to GMOs.

GMOs vs GM Product

The Gene Technology Act 2000 distinguishes between genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and genetically modified (GM) products. A genetically modified product - 'GM product' - means a thing (other than a GMO) derived or produced from a GMO (Section 10 of the GT Act).

The Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR) does not directly regulate the use of GM products in Australia.

However, the use of GM products is regulated by other regulatory agencies in a number of situations as set out in the table above.

GMOs Already Licensed by OGTR

A list of GMOs already licensed by OGTR is contained in Appendix II of this report.

Biotech Food

Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) is the Australian Government agency responsible for approving GM food products for the Australian market. Mandatory labeling of genetically modified foods, where introduced DNA or protein is present in the final food, came into force in Australia on December 7, 2001. Regulations for labeling are contained in [Standard 1.5.2](#) of the [Food Standards Code](#). A list of currently approved biotech food products is contained in Appendix III of this report.

Under the Standard, food or ingredients labeled genetically modified contain new genetic material or protein as a result of the genetic modification or have altered characteristics, e.g. changed nutritional values, compared to the conventional food. Some flavorings may also be derived from genetically modified organisms, but labeling is only required if they are in a concentration of more than 1 gram per kilogram (0.1%). Food additives and processing aids do not need to be labeled unless the introduced genetic material is present in the final food.

Under the labeling standard, for packaged foods the words 'genetically modified' must be used in conjunction with the name of the food, or in association with the specific ingredient within the ingredient list; and for unpackaged foods for retail sale (such as unpackaged fruit and vegetables, or unpackaged processed or semi-processed foods) the words 'genetically modified' must be displayed in association with the food, or in association with the particular ingredient within that food.

Biotech Feed Products

Animal feeds containing GMOs (e.g. whole grains or oilseeds) are regulated by the OGTR. The OGTR considers any biosafety risks associated with the product and, if necessary, will apply special conditions, or may prohibit the use of the product as animal feed. As an example, after a GMO has undergone field trials, the organization conducting the trials may wish to use the unviable by-product (such as seed) as animal feed. Before the product is used in any way, the Gene Technology Regulator will consider any risks and, if necessary, will apply conditions or disallow the product to be used.

The Australian Quarantine & Inspection Service (AQIS) and the OGTR must approve genetically modified whole grain commodities (including oilseeds) imported into Australia for animal feed (such as whole soybeans and corn). The AQIS provides quarantine inspection and certification for the arrival of imports of the products to ensure the product is free of pest and disease and specific license conditions are enforced to ensure the product meets requirements. The OGTR also assesses the product, issues a license to the organization importing the product, and may apply further conditions above those stipulated by AQIS.

Large amounts of biotech feed products are used in Australia's intensive livestock sector. A large proportion of Australia's soybean meal is imported, including from the United States. All cottonseed meal used in Australia is considered to be biotech as over 90 percent of the cotton crop is planted to biotech varieties. Biotech and non-biotech cotton varieties are not typically segregated in Australia.

Genetically modified animal feed does not require special labeling in Australia.

Coexistence between Biotech & Non-Biotech Crops

Coexistence of biotech, conventional, and organic crops has occurred in Australia since biotech cotton varieties were commercially grown in 1996. As part of any license to grow a biotech crop, OGTR stipulates the conditions under which the crop can be grown to ensure no cross-contamination with conventional or organic crops in the vicinity.

In October 2005, national consensus was achieved in Australia regarding practical thresholds to deal with the issue of traces of GM canola in conventional canola consignments and variety trials. The Primary Industries Ministerial Council (PIMC), which is comprised of Ministers from the Australian Government and each state and territory, agreed upon adventitious presence (AP) thresholds for the presence of GM canola in conventional grain and seed.

The PIMC meeting agreed on two thresholds:

- An AP threshold of 0.9 per cent GM canola in canola grain. This is the threshold supported by the Australian Oilseeds Federation (AOF).
- A second threshold for AP of GM canola in seed was set at 0.5 per cent for 2006 and 2007, to be reduced to 0.1 per cent thereafter. The Australian Seed Federation (ASF) established an AP threshold of 0.5 per cent GM seed in non-GM planting seed in 2003 following two years of research and consultation with the canola seed industry.

A number of projects on GMO supply chain management have been undertaken as part of the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries & Forestry's Biotechnology Strategy for Agriculture, Food and Fibre (BSAFF). These publications are available at: <http://www.daff.gov.au/agriculture-food/biotechnology>.

Biosafety Protocol

Australia has not signed or ratified the Biosafety Protocol and the Australian Government has no timetable for consideration of accession to the Protocol. This was due to concerns about how the Protocol will operate in practice (documentation requirements, and the liability and compliance arrangements are yet to be agreed), uncertainty about how parties will implement the Protocol and whether they will do so in a way which respects all of their international obligations, and uncertainty about any individual country's capacity to influence decision-making. The Australian government considers that the Protocol is not needed for Australia to manage biotech imports as Australia already has a robust regulatory framework through the Office of Gene Technology Regulator.

Section IV: Plant Biotechnology Marketing Issues

Market Acceptance

Australia has a substantial, risk assessment based regulatory framework for dealings with gene technology and genetically modified organisms and the Government is supportive of the technology for its agricultural producers and has been an ally of the United States with regard to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (CPB). This comes despite anti-biotechnology activism in Australia that promoted stringent labeling requirements and encouraged moratoria on biotechnology plantings. Australia's biotechnology sector is small in global terms, but growing, with over 440 biotechnology companies (this includes all types of biotechnology, not just agricultural biotechnology).



Major Australian commodity groups originally voiced concerns about introducing biotech canola and advocated for a 'go-slow' approach largely because of the potential impact biotech canola, which OGTR approved for commercial release in 2003, could have on their domestic and export businesses. In 2003 and 2004, several state governments (Victoria, NSW, South Australia, Western Australia, Tasmania and the ACT), using their powers over commodity 'marketing', imposed moratoria on the commercial release of products of biotechnology (with the exception of the previously approved cotton and carnations). Most of the moratoria were reviewed in 2007, and the states of NSW and Victoria lifted their bans on commercial plantings of GM canola and the first commercial crops were grown in these two states in 2008. In November 2008, the Western Australian government lifted their moratoria to allow GM cotton to be grown in the Ord River area and in April 2009 they also announced that trials of GM canola would be allowed at 20 sites in that state. Moratoria remain in place in South Australia, Tasmania and the ACT.

Currently in Australia about 95 percent of the cotton planted is from biotech varieties, and there has been little controversy concerning its cultivation. Indeed, environmental benefits and the significant decline in pesticide and herbicide use for this

crop have been widely reported. Biotech cottonseed does appear in the domestic market through the oil and meal, and this has not met with any major opposition.

In 2009, the Department of Innovation, Industry, Science and Research commissioned research on community attitudes to biotechnology the results of which were published at the end of 2010. Previous surveys had been conducted every two years from 1999, to determine public attitudes towards biotechnology and biotechnology applications in Australia. This survey was conducted between December 2009 and June 2010. See our report "[Public Attitudes towards Agricultural Biotechnology in Australia](#)," March 2011.

Key findings of the report were:

- The Australian public has continued to strongly support biotechnologies that provide health or environmental benefits, but their support for genetically modified (GM) foods has dropped a little since 2007.
- Biotechnologies of key interest to the public include genetic modification (GM), cloning, stem cell research and using organisms to clean up pollution.
- GM food continues to be one of the least well supported biotechnologies, although the public perceive the benefits (70%) still outweigh the risks (48%). This is a drop from 2007 in benefits (77%) and risks (54%), yet still much higher than the 2005 figure of perceived benefits (64%) but lower than the 2005 figure of perceived risk at 71%.
- In 2009-10, GM food is more highly supported than foods containing preservatives and foods grown with pesticides. While 67% per cent of the public say that GM foods are acceptable, half of those opposed would change that position if there was long-term evidence of no harm being caused. About 45% per cent of those opposed to GM foods would change their position if labeling explained what ingredients had been modified and why. This support varies depending on the amount of GM in the food, why the modification was made and whether the food was a fruit and vegetable or other crop.

Other key findings include an increasing public trust in Australian regulators and a drop in perceived value of using biotechnologies to address climate change and to produce biofuels. Overall, support for health and medical applications of biotechnology was higher than support for applications in food or agriculture.

Full details of this report and those from previous years (2005 & 2007) & other information are available on the Department of Innovation, Industry, Science and Research website at: <http://www.innovation.gov.au/Industry/Nanotechnology/PublicAwarenessandEngagement/Pages/ResearchandReports.aspx>

A number of reports on market acceptance are also available on the DAFF website at: http://www.daff.gov.au/agriculture-food/biotechnology/reports/marketing_and_trade.

Country Specific Studies Relevant to U.S. Exporters

The **Department of Agriculture, Fisheries & Forestry** has a number of publications, studies and fact sheets available on the [Agriculture & Food Biotechnology page](#).

[Agrifood Awareness Australia](#) – This organization publishes a large number of bulletins and information guides.

The [Australian Bureau of Agriculture & Resource Economics \(ABARE\)](#) has released a large number of studies & papers on GM industries. Conduct a search for GM on the ABARE website.

In September 2007, the **National Farmers Federation** released a [Gene Technology Policy](#) recognizing the potential of biotechnology as a valuable tool within agricultural production systems and urging that all potential benefits should be available to farmers to make informed choice in their farming decisions.

Section V: Animal Biotechnology

There is a small amount of work currently being conducted in Australia on genetically engineered agriculturally-relevant animals – mainly chicken & sheep. The work is in the very early stages and is being carried out by public and private research institutions and universities. GE animals are considered ‘Notifiable Low Risk Dealings’ (NLRDs) by the OGTR – i.e. “dealings with GMOs that have been assessed as posing low risk to the health and safety of people and the environment provided certain risk management conditions are met.” A full list of NLRDs, including the institutions carrying out the research, is available on the OGTR website at: <http://www.ogtr.gov.au/internet/ogtr/publishing.nsf/Content/nlrclass-2>.

No GE animals are currently anywhere near the commercial production stage in Australia.

Section VI: Reference Materials

Below are links to various organizations involved in the agricultural biotechnology sector in Australia.

Australian Government

[Office of the Gene Technology Regulator](#)

[Food Standards Australia New Zealand](#)

[Australian Pesticides & Veterinary Medicines Authority](#)

[Department of Agriculture, Fisheries & Forestry](#)

[Department of Innovation, Industry, Science & Research](#)

[Commonwealth Scientific & Industrial Research Organization \(CSIRO\)](#)

Other Organizations

[Agrifood Awareness Australia](#)

[National Farmers Federation](#)

APPENDIX I: GMO APPLICATIONS UNDER EVALUATION

The Office of the Gene Technology Register has received the following applications for evaluation. All applications are posted on the OGTR website when they are first received and again when public comment is sought. Full details of all applications can be found at: <http://www.ogtr.gov.au/internet/ogtr/publishing.nsf/Content/ir-evaluation-1>

Product	Trait Category	Applicant	Status
Canola	Commercial release of canola genetically modified for herbicide tolerance and a hybrid breeding system (GM InVigor [®] x Roundup Ready [®] canola)	Bayer CropScience Pty Ltd	Notification posted 17 March 2011
Banana	Limited and controlled release of banana genetically modified for enhanced nutrition	Queensland University of Technology	Notification posted 14 February 2011
Sugar Cane	Limited and controlled release of sugarcane genetically modified for production of naturally occurring compounds for use in bioplastics	University of Queensland	Notification posted 7 December 2010

APPENDIX II: GMOS ALREADY LICENSED FOR USE IN AUSTRALIA

The table below provides summary information about all current Dealings for Intentional Release (DIRs) on the GMO Record (i.e. granted licenses for various uses). Full details of all applications (including those withdrawn and surrendered and those released for commercial use) can be found on the OGTR website at:

<http://www.ogtr.gov.au/internet/ogtr/publishing.nsf/Content/ir-1>

Crop	Applicant	Modified Trait	License Purpose
Banana (<i>Musa</i> spp.)	Queensland University of Technology	Disease resistance	Limited and controlled release of banana genetically modified for disease resistance
Canola (<i>Brassica napus</i> L.)	Monsanto Australia Ltd	Herbicide tolerance	Limited and controlled release of canola genetically modified for herbicide tolerance
Canola (<i>Brassica napus</i> L.) and Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> (L.) Czern)	Bayer CropScience Pty Ltd	Herbicide tolerance with or without a hybrid breeding system	Limited and controlled release of canola and Indian mustard genetically modified for herbicide tolerance and/or a hybrid breeding system
Canola (<i>Brassica napus</i> L.)	DPI Victoria	Enhanced yield and delayed leaf senescence	Limited and controlled release of canola genetically modified for enhanced yield and delayed leaf senescence
Wheat and Barley (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. and <i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.)	The University of Adelaide	Abiotic stress tolerance and enhanced nutrient utilization	Limited and controlled release of wheat and barley genetically modified for abiotic stress tolerance
Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.)	Monsanto Australia Limited	Insect Resistance and Herbicide Tolerance	Limited and Controlled Release of Cotton Genetically Modified for Insect Resistance and Herbicide Tolerance
Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)	CSIRO	Enhanced carbon assimilation, grain weight, heat tolerance and/or water use efficiency, herbicide tolerance	Limited and controlled release of wheat genetically modified for enhanced carbon assimilation in drought and heat prone environments
Wheat and Barley (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. and <i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.)	CSIRO	Altered grain composition, Nutrient utilization efficiency	Limited and controlled release of wheat and barley genetically modified for altered grain composition or nutrient utilization efficiency
Bovine parainfluenza virus (Medi 534) (<i>Bovine parainfluenza virus</i> type 3)	PPD	Attenuation, Foreign antigen expression	Limited and controlled release of a genetically modified vaccine for prevention of selected childhood respiratory diseases
Sugarcane (<i>Saccharum</i> spp.)	BSES Limited	Herbicide tolerance	Limited and controlled release of sugarcane genetically modified for herbicide tolerance

Sugarcane (<i>Saccharum</i> spp.)	BSES Limited	Altered plant growth, enhanced drought tolerance, enhanced nitrogen use efficiency, altered sucrose accumulation, and improved cellulosic ethanol production from sugarcane biomass	Limited and controlled release of sugarcane genetically modified for altered plant growth, enhanced drought tolerance, enhanced nitrogen use efficiency, altered sucrose accumulation, and improved cellulosic ethanol production from sugarcane biomass
Wheat and Barley (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. and <i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.)	CSIRO	Enhanced nutrient utilization efficiency	Limited and controlled release of wheat and barley genetically modified for enhanced nutrient utilization efficiency
Wheat and Barley (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. and <i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.)	CSIRO	Altered grain starch composition	Limited and controlled release of wheat and barley genetically modified for altered grain starch composition
Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)	CSIRO	Altered grain composition	Limited and controlled release of wheat genetically modified for altered grain composition
Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>) L.	Dow AgroSciences Australia Ltd	Insect Resistance	Commercial release of cotton genetically modified for insect resistance (WideStrike™ Insect Protection Cotton)
Rose (<i>Rosa X hybrida</i>)	Florigene Pty Ltd	Altered flower color	Commercial release of rose genetically modified for altered flower color
White Clover (<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.)	Victorian Department of Primary Industries	Viral disease resistance, Antibiotic resistance	Limited and controlled release of white clover genetically modified to resist infection by Alfalfa mosaic virus
Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.)	Bayer CropScience Pty Ltd	Insect resistance, herbicide tolerance	Limited and controlled release of cotton genetically modified for insect resistance and herbicide tolerance
Maize (corn) (<i>Zea mays</i> L.)	CSIRO	The genetic modification helps to identify maize genes that may alter plant characteristics. Antibiotic resistance, herbicide tolerance and reporter gene activity	Limited and controlled release of maize genetically modified to investigate gene function
Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.)	CSIRO	Fatty acid composition of the cottonseed oil	Limited and controlled release of cotton genetically modified for altered fatty acid composition of the cottonseed oil
Perennial ryegrass and tall fescue (<i>Lolium perenne</i> L.) and (<i>Lolium arundinaceum</i>) (Schreb.) Darbysh	Victorian Department of Primary Industries	Altered lignin and fructan metabolism	Limited and controlled release of perennial ryegrass and tall fescue genetically modified for improved forage qualities
Bread Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)	Victorian Department of Primary Industries	Drought tolerance	Limited and controlled release of wheat genetically modified for drought tolerance

Banana (<i>Musa. acuminata</i> cv. Grande Naine)	Queensland University of Technology	Enhanced disease resistance, reporter gene expression	Limited and controlled release of banana genetically modified for disease resistance
Sugarcane (<i>Saccharum spp.</i>)	The University of Queensland	Altered sugar production	Limited and controlled release of sugarcane genetically modified for altered sugar production
Wheat and barley (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) and (<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>)	The University of Adelaide	Enhanced tolerance to abiotic stressors, including soil boron and drought, and increased beta glucan levels	Limited and controlled release of wheat and barley genetically modified for enhanced tolerance to abiotic stresses or increased beta glucan
Banana (<i>Musa. acuminata</i> cv. Williams)	Queensland University of Technology	Increased levels of pro-vitamin A, vitamin E or iron	Limited and controlled release of banana genetically modified for enhanced nutrition
Cotton (<i>Gossypium barbadense</i> L.)	Monsanto Australia Limited	Insect resistance and/or herbicide tolerance	Limited and controlled release of GM insect resistant and/or herbicide tolerant <i>Gossypium barbadense</i> cotton
Bread wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)	Department of Primary Industries - Victoria	Drought tolerance	Limited and Controlled Release of GM drought tolerant wheat
Sugarcane (<i>Saccharum spp.</i>)	BSES Limited	Altered plant architecture, enhanced water or improved nitrogen use efficiency	Limited and Controlled Release of GM Sugarcane with altered plant architecture, enhanced water or improved nitrogen use efficiency
Canola and Indian Mustard (<i>Brassica napus</i> L. and <i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)	Bayer CropScience Pty Ltd	Herbicide tolerance and hybrid breeding system	Limited and controlled release of GM herbicide tolerant hybrid <i>Brassica napus</i> and hybrid <i>Brassica juncea</i>
Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.)	Monsanto Australia Limited	Herbicide tolerant and/or insect resistant	Commercial Release of GM herbicide tolerant and/or insect resistant cotton lines north of latitude 22° South
Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.)	Hexima Ltd	Fungal resistance	Field trial of GM cotton expressing natural plant genes for fungal control
Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.)	Bayer CropScience Pty Ltd	Herbicide tolerance	Commercial release of herbicide tolerant Liberty Link® Cotton
Indian mustard (includes Brown and Oriental mustard) (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L. Czern and Coss.)	Bayer CropScience Pty Ltd	Herbicide tolerance and hybrid breeding system	Field trials of genetically modified herbicide tolerant, hybrid <i>Brassica juncea</i>
Bread wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)	CSIRO	Altered grain starch and Antibiotic resistance	Field trial of genetically modified wheat with altered grain starch
Sugarcane (<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L. x <i>S. spontaneum</i> L.)	The University of Queensland	Altered sugar production and antibiotic resistance	Field trial of genetically modified (GM) sugarcane expressing sucrose isomerase

Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.)	Hexima Limited	Insecticidal action, antibiotic resistance	Field trial to assess transgenic cotton expressing natural plant genes for insect control
White Clover (<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.)	Department of Primary Industries (Victoria)	Viral Disease Resistance, Antibiotic resistance	Field Evaluation of Genetically Modified White Clover Resistant to Infection by Alfalfa Mosaic Virus
Fowl adenovirus (Fowl adenovirus, serotype 8, isolate CFA44)	Imugene Limited	Immunomodulatory protein expression, Attenuation	Limited and controlled release of GM fowl adenovirus (FAV)
Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.)	Dow AgroSciences Australia Pty Ltd	Insecticidal and herbicide tolerance	Agronomic assessment and seed increase of transgenic cottons expressing insecticidal genes (<i>cry1Ac</i> and <i>cry1Fa</i>) from <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>
Canola (<i>Brassica napus</i> L.)	Bayer CropScience Pty Ltd	herbicide tolerant hybrid canola	Field Trial - Seed increase and field evaluation of herbicide tolerant hybrid canola
Grapevines (<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.)	CSIRO	Expression of modified color, sugar composition, flowering and fruit development, expression of green fluorescence protein, antibiotic resistance	Field trial of GM grapevines - Evaluation of berry color, sugar composition, flower and fruit development and gene flow study
Pineapple (<i>Ananas comosus</i>)	Department of Primary Industries	Reduction of blackheart, delayed flowering, reporter gene expression, antibiotic resistance	Field trial of pineapple plants modified for blackheart reduction and to delay flowering
Papaya (<i>Carica papaya</i>)	The University of Queensland	Delayed fruit ripening, reporter gene expression and antibiotic resistance	Field trial for evaluation of GM papaya to delay fruit ripening and to test the expression of the introduced genes
Canola (<i>Brassica napus</i> L.)	Bayer CropScience Pty Ltd	Herbicide tolerance, Hybrid Breeding System	Commercial release of InVigor® hybrid canola (<i>Brassica napus</i>) for use in the Australian cropping system
Canola (<i>Brassica napus</i> L.)	Monsanto Australia Limited	Herbicide tolerance	General release of Roundup Ready® canola (<i>Brassica napus</i>) in Australia

APPENDIX III: APPROVED GM FOOD PRODUCTS

The following table contains a current list of approved biotech food products. Detailed information is contained in [Standard 1.5.2](#) on the FSANZ web site.

Commodity	Food produced using gene technology	Special conditions
Canola	Food derived from herbicide-tolerant canola line GT73 Food derived from herbicide-tolerant canola Topas 19/2 and T45 and herbicide-tolerant and pollination controlled lines Ms1, Ms8, Rf1, Rf2, Rf3	

	Food derived from herbicide-tolerant canola line Westar-Oxy-235	
Corn	<p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant corn line GA21</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected corn line MON810</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant and insect-protected corn line Bt11</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected corn line Bt176</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant corn line T25</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant corn line NK603</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide tolerant and insect-protected corn line DBT418</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant and insect-protected corn line 1507</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected corn line MON863</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant and insect-protected corn line DAS-59122-7 2.11</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant and insect-protected corn line MON88017</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected corn line MIR604</p> <p>Food derived from high lysine corn line LY038</p> <p>Food derived from amylase modified corn line 3272</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected corn line MON89034</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected corn line MIR162</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant corn line DP-098140-6</p> <p>Food derived from drought-tolerant corn line MON87460</p>	<p>Unless the protein content has been removed as part of a refining process, the label on or attached to a package of a food derived from high lysine corn line LY038 must include a statement to the effect that the food has been genetically modified to contain increased levels of lysine.</p>
Cotton	<p>Food derived from insect-protected cotton lines 531, 757 and 1076</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line 1445</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant</p>	

	<p>cotton lines 10211 and 10222</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected cotton line 15985</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected cotton line COT102</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant and insect-protected cotton line MXB-13</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line LL25</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line MON88913</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line GHB614</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected cotton line COT67B</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant and insect-protected cotton line T304-40</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant and insect-protected cotton line GHB119</p>	
Lucerne (Alfalfa)	Food derived from herbicide-tolerant lucerne lines J101 & J163	
Potato	<p>Food derived from insect-protected potato lines BT-06, ATBT04-06, ATBT04-31, ATBT04-36, and SPBT02-05</p> <p>Food derived from insect- and virus-protected potato lines RBMT21-129, RBMT21-350 and RBMT22-82</p> <p>Food derived from insect- and virus-protected potato lines RBMT15-101, SEM15-02 and SEM15-15</p>	
Rice	Food derived from herbicide-tolerant rice line LLRICE62	
Soybean	<p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant soybean line 40-3-2</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant soybean lines A2704-12 and A5547-127</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant soybean line MON89788</p> <p>Food derived from herbicide-tolerant soybean line DP-356043-5</p> <p>Food derived from high oleic acid soybean line DP-305423-1</p> <p>Food derived from insect-protected soybean line MON87701</p>	

Sugarbeet	Food derived from herbicide-tolerant sugarbeet line 77 Food derived from herbicide-tolerant sugarbeet line H7-1	
-----------	--	--

Recent Reports from FAS/Canberra

The reports listed below can all be downloaded from the FAS website at:
<http://www.fas.usda.gov/scripts/AttacheRep/default.asp>.

Title of Report	Date
Exporter Guide	06/22/11
Sugar Update 2011	06/20/11
Ag DownUnder June 2011	06/07/11
Dairy Semi Annual 2011	05/09/11
Testing of Food from Japan - Update	04/14/11
Sugar Annual 2011	04/13/11
Additional Testing Required for Japanese Food Products	04/08/11
Cotton and Products Annual	04/04/11
Grain and Feed Annual 2011	03/29/11
Livestock and Products Semi-annual 2011	03/18/11
Wine Annual 2011	03/10/11
Public Attitudes Towards Agricultural Biotechnology in Australia	03/08/11
Review of Food Labeling & Policy	02/24/11
Grain & Feed Lock-Up – February 2011	02/01/11
Citrus Annual 2010	12/15/10
Ag DownUnder - Issue 7 2010	12/10/10
Winter crop harvest under way as rain continues in eastern Australia	11/30/10
Australia Moves toward Phasing Out the Use of Sow Gestation Stalls	11/24/10
Dairy and Products Annual 2010	11/23/10
Grain and Feed Lock-Up – November 2010	10/28/10
Ag DownUnder – Issue 6 2010	10/15/10
Sugar Semi Annual 2010	09/29/10
Livestock and Products Annual	09/01/10
Stone Fruit Annual 2010	08/20/10
Exporter Guide 2010	08/16/10
Grain & Feed Update – August 2010	07/30/10
Ag DownUnder Issue 5 2010	07/22/10
Food & Agriculture Import Regulations & Standards Report	07/21/10