

THIS REPORT CONTAINS ASSESSMENTS OF COMMODITY AND TRADE ISSUES MADE BY
USDA STAFF AND NOT NECESSARILY STATEMENTS OF OFFICIAL U.S. GOVERNMENT
POLICY

Required Report - public distribution

Date: 11/20/2018

GAIN Report Number: CA18055

Canada

Agricultural Biotechnology Annual

2018

Approved By:

Evan Mangino, Agricultural Attaché

Prepared By:

Harvey Bradford, Agricultural Specialist

Alexandrea Watters, Agricultural Specialist

Report Highlights:

The estimated area planted to Genetically Engineered (GE) crops in Canada was down 2 percent in 2018, due primarily to lower soybean area in the prairie provinces. Since the 2017 biotechnology annual, Health Canada has approved new GE canola, apple, rice and sugarcane varieties for food, and the Canadian Food Inspection Agency has approved the first two for unconfined release into the environment.

Keywords: Canada, CA18055, Biotechnology, Genetically Engineered

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1: PLANT BIOTECHNOLOGY	5
PART A: PRODUCTION AND TRADE	5
a) PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT:	5
b) COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION:	7
c) EXPORTS:.....	10
d) IMPORTS:.....	13
e) TRADE BARRIERS:.....	15
PART B: POLICY.....	15
a) REGULATORY FRAMEWORK:	15
b) APPROVALS:.....	21
c) STACKED or PYRAMIDED EVENT APPROVALS:.....	22
d) FIELD TESTING:	22
e) INNOVATIVE BIOTECHNOLOGIES:	23
f) COEXISTENCE:.....	23
g) LABELING:	23
h) MONITORING AND TESTING:	25
i) LOW LEVEL PRESENCE (LLP):.....	25
j) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR):	25
k) CARTAGENA PROTOCOL RATIFICATION:	26
l) INTERNATIONAL TREATIES and FORUMS:.....	26
PART C: MARKETING.....	27
a) PUBLIC/PRIVATE OPINIONS / MARKET ACCEPTANCE/STUDIES:	27
CHAPTER 2: ANIMAL BIOTECHNOLOGY	28
PART D: PRODUCTION AND TRADE	28
a) PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT:	28
b) COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION:	28
c) EXPORTS:.....	29
d) IMPORTS:.....	29
e) TRADE BARRIERS:.....	29
PART E: POLICY.....	29
a) REGULATORY FRAMEWORK:	29
b) APPROVALS:.....	31
c) INNOVATIVE BIOTECHNOLOGIES:	31
d) LABELING AND TRACEABILITY:.....	32
e) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR):.....	32
f) INTERNATIONAL TREATIES and FORUMS:.....	32
PART F: MARKETING	32
a) PUBLIC/PRIVATE OPINIONS:.....	33
b) MARKET ACCEPTANCE/STUDIES:.....	33

List of Tables

Table 1: Area Seeded to Biotech Crops in Canada.....	7
Table 2: Regulating Agencies and Relevant Legislation	17
Table 3: Regulating Agencies' Responsibilities	18
Table 4: CFIA Approvals	21
Table 5: Legislative Responsibility for the Regulation of Animal Biotechnology	30

List of Figures

Figure 1: Canola Oil Exports to China and the U.S.....	11
Figure 2: Canola Seed Exports to Top Three Markets	11
Figure 3: Soybean Oil Exports to the World and the United States	12
Figure 4: Soybean Oil Exports, Percentage by Province.....	12
Figure 5: Flaxseed Exports to Belgium, China and the United States.....	13
Figure 7: Corn Imports From the United States.....	14
Figure 8: Corn Production in Canada	15

Executive Summary

In 2018, Canada planted approximately 12.2 million hectares of genetically engineered (GE) crops, mainly canola, soybean, corn, sugar beets and some alfalfa. The area planted to GE crops fell roughly 2 percent in 2018. This can be partially attributed to small reductions in area planted to canola and much larger reductions to soybean. Much of the soybean reductions were in the prairie provinces, where farmers increased wheat area planted in anticipation of lower soybean yields from dry planting conditions and from expected moisture deficiency through the growing season.

In marketing year (MY) 2018/19, soybean area planted grew 9 percent in Ontario while dropping 6 percent in Manitoba and 52 percent in Saskatchewan. Total soybean area planted decreased by 13 percent across Canada, as declines in the prairie provinces more than offset gains in Ontario.

In January 2018, the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) and Health Canada (HC) approved the unconfined environmental release of one Bayer canola product and one apple variety from Okanagan Specialty Fruits Inc. for commercial planting purposes, livestock feed and food use. In March 2018, a rice variety developed by the International Rice Research Institute and a sugarcane variety from the Centro de Tecnologia Canavieira were approved for use as food.

Development of high oleic and high linoleic varieties should continue to impact the balance between canola, soybean and sunflower within the oilseed industry. Price premiums for high oleic soybeans have not been favorable in the current or previous marketing year, and area planted continues to lag behind high oleic canola. Greater production of high oleic soybeans would be needed before Canadian crushing facilities would have sufficient incentive to crush high oleic beans. At this time, high oleic soybean varieties are shipped to the United States for crushing.

CHAPTER 1: PLANT BIOTECHNOLOGY

Part A: Production and Trade

a) PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT:

Canola

On January 30, 2018, Health Canada [approved an application](#) by Bayer CropScience Inc. for unconfined environmental release of Brassica napus (canola), designated as Event MS11. MS11 received approval in the United States in September 2017 for food, feed and cultivation. This canola variety has been genetically engineered to exhibit a male sterile phenotype as well as tolerance to the herbicide glufosinate ammonium. MS11-containing canola was not commercially grown in Canada in MY 2018/19.

Monsanto is preparing to commercialize a new canola trait called TruFlex canola, equipped with Roundup Ready technology. Reports indicate that this new canola trait will be available in Canada for the 2019 growing season. The variety was approved by the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) and Health Canada (HC) in 2012 but is still awaiting approval in key export market China. Monsanto will likely not go ahead with seed sales and commercialization until they receive an approval from China.

The Canola Council of Canada has announced various priorities for 2018 to 2023, including improvements in disease resistance, plant fertility and integrated pest management. Other areas of focus include the evaluation of new antibacterial technologies for canola meal as well as high oleic canola oil health attributes: blood glucose management, body weight control and inflammation and immunity health.

The emergence high oleic and high linoleic varieties have been among the most influential developments in the oilseed sector over the past ten years. The growth of high oleic canola oil production in Canada has been rapid over the last ten years, accounting for roughly 12 percent of production in MY 2018/19. High oleic oils have benefits for food processors in terms of increasing the shelf-life of baked goods and high oxidation rates for frying food, i.e. oils that last longer in a deep fryer. There are also some other beneficial qualities such as less wear-and-tear on machinery when used as a lubricant and even some health benefits relative to commodity oils.

Linoleic oils are primarily used for industrial material applications like paints, coatings, polyols and epoxies. FAS/Ottawa is not aware of any high linoleic canola oil varieties under development at the time. Prior to the development of high linoleic varieties, the oil from commodity sunflower seeds was particularly sought after for its naturally high linoleic content and its clarity. The oil from sunflowers was ideal for applications such as paint and primer because it does not darken over time. However, with the emergence of sunflower varieties that prioritize high oleic content over high linoleic content, the supply of linoleic rich oil for industrial applications has reduced.

Soybean

Researchers in Ontario are developing high linoleic soybean varieties to fill the current deficit in linoleic oils. High linoleic soybean oil varieties are achieving linoleic levels between 67-69 percent (close to commodity sunflower oil) while also maintaining the clarity needed for industrial material applications, such as paints and primers. Having achieved desired levels of linoleic acid, researchers have begun to focus on improved yields that would make these high linoleic soybean varieties commercially viable.

Two varieties of high oleic soybeans are approved in Canada: Corteva's (DowDupont) Plenish soybeans and Monsanto's (Bayer) Vistive Gold soybeans. Both are approved for unconfined environmental release in Canada as well as in China, which is the main export market after the European Union. Despite key approvals, market demand and area planted have not yet taken off in Canada. As a result, the Canadian crushing industry is not yet willing to do high oleic specific runs through their facilities. This is because they would need to clean their entire facility in order to dedicate crush capacity to high oleic varieties, and this is not economical at current levels of supply in Canada. There needs to be more area planted in Canada to provide an incentive for crushers to dedicate plant capacity to high oleic crush.

Industry sources have expressed some frustration with sluggish growth in demand from the food industry, given the level of investment to develop high-oleic soybeans. Greater demand from the food industry for high oleic oils would create a price signal that may incentivize more production and more crushing in Canada. Currently, seed developers are frustrated because they have developed the high oleic varieties and established some farmers as growers, yet the food industry has not been willing to pay a premium for the new varieties. The hesitancy from the food industry likely surrounds the ongoing trend towards increased demand for foods produced with non-Genetically Engineered (GE) foods. The supply chain seems to be working out the production levels to meet the slower-than-anticipated growth in demand for high oleic oils.

Rice

Syngenta's provitamin A biofortified rice event GR2E (Golden Rice) received approval by Health Canada on March 16, 2018. Golden Rice has been engineered to contain high levels of provitamin A and is intended to be sold in countries where diets are low in vitamin A. Vitamin A deficiency is caused by prolonged dietary deprivation and is a common cause of blindness amongst children in developing countries, especially in southern and eastern Asia. Rice is the staple food in these regions and it is naturally devoid of beta-carotene, the pigment that humans convert into Vitamin A. Canada, along with Australia, the United States and New Zealand have all approved Golden Rice. The [International Rice Research Institute](#) (IRRI) indicates that this product is not intended to be sold in Canada.

Apples

Since the last report, Okanagan Specialty Fruits received approval for NF 872, more commonly known as Arctic Fuji Apple, a variety genetically engineered to be non-browning. Approved by the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) and Health Canada for commercial planting purposes, livestock feed and food use on January 30, 2018, Arctic Fuji joins Okanagan's been approved for production and sale in the United States.

Health Canada's approval of Arctic Fuji can be viewed at: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/arctic-fuji-apple.html>

Additionally, the CFIA has provided an information page about Arctic apples at: <http://www.inspection.gc.ca/plants/plants-with-novel-traits/general-public/arctic-apple-faq/eng/1426884802194/1426884861294>

b) COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION:

Table 1: Area Seeded to Biotech Crops in Canada

Area Seeded (1000 hectares)	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Canola	8,458	8,411	8,411	9,307	9,202
Biotech Canola	8,035	7,990	7,990	8,842	8,742
Biotech Canola, percentage of total	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Soybeans	2,272	2,239	2,269	2,947	2,558
Biotech Soy	1,613	1,612	1,724	2,417	2,123
Biotech Soy, percentage of total	71%	72%	76%	82%	83%
Corn for Grain	1,278	1,359	1,452	1,447	1,470
Biotech Corn	1,061	1,128	1,224	1,272	1,367
Biotech Corn, percentage of total	83%	83%	85%	88%	93%
Sugar Beets	8	7	10	11	11
Biotech Sugarbeet	8	7	10	11	11
Biotech Sugarbeet, percentage total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Source: Statistics Canada, Canola Council, Manitoba Agricultural Services Corporation, Saskatchewan Ministry of Agriculture, FAS/Ottawa

Canola

Most of Canada's canola production is in the western provinces of Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta. Statistics Canada survey results show that 2018 total canola area planted decreased by 1 percent to 9.17 million hectares.

According to the Canola Council of Canada, approximately 95 percent of total canola area planted was GE varieties, consistent with the last five years. That would put the 2018 GE area at just over 8.7 million hectares, slightly lower than the 8.8 million hectares planted in 2017.

Canola oil accounts for about 50 percent of the total vegetable oil consumed by Canadians. In general, only about 10 percent of the Canadian canola crop is consumed in Canada, as nearly 90 percent of Canadian canola seed, oil, and meal are exported. High oleic varieties now account for roughly 12 percent of the area seeded in Canada, but closer to one third of the domestic crush.

Data on GE canola is not available from Statistics Canada. FAS/Canada used information from the Canola Council of Canada to estimate area planted.

Soybeans

Total soybean area planted (including GE and conventional varieties) declined to 2.56 million hectares in 2018, a 13 percent drop from MY 2017/18. In Ontario, area seeded to soybean was 1.21 million hectares in 2018, down 1.8 percent from MY 2017/18. Manitoba farmers planted fewer soybean hectares for the first time in ten years, down 17.5 percent to 769,000 hectares in 2018. In Quebec, the area planted to soybean was down 7 percent to 370,000 hectares. Saskatchewan farmers reported a decline of 52.1 percent in area planted from MY 2017/18. Consultations with industry reveal that poor soybean prices at the time of planting, forecasts of dry weather and relatively attractive wheat prices were the cause of reduced area seeded to soybean in the prairies.

Ontario's share of total soybean area was 47 percent in 2018, compared with Manitoba's 30 percent of total area planted to soybean. The percentages for the previous year were 43 percent and 32 percent for Ontario and Manitoba, respectively. More soybean production appears to have shifted back to eastern Canada for MY 2018/19. At an estimated 262,000 hectares planted in 2018, Quebec's GE soybeans represented 71 percent of the province's total soybean area. In Ontario, GE soybean area was 935,000 hectares in 2018, or 76 percent of the total soybean area in the province. The 2018 estimated area planted to GE varieties in Manitoba was 761,000 hectares, or 99 percent of Manitoba's total soybean crop. GE soybean production in Canada as a percentage of total area seeded was estimated at 83 percent for MY 2018/19.

Corn

GE corn area planted currently accounts for 93 percent of all corn planted in Canada. Quebec and Ontario have been the primary corn-growing regions, accounting for over 85 percent of total Canadian corn area. Quebec farmers have planted 340,000 hectares of GE corn, and Ontario farmers have planted 777,000 hectares of GE corn. According to sources at the Manitoba Ministry of Agriculture, farmers planted roughly 172,000 hectares of GE corn.

In 2018, Quebec farmers are estimated to have 88 percent of their total corn crop in GE varieties, up from 52 percent in 2007. Ontario farmers are also estimated to have 89 percent of total corn crop

planted in GE varieties, up from 47 percent in 2007. Manitoba farmers are estimated to have planted 99 percent of the total corn crop in GE varieties (2007 percentage area was unavailable).

Starting with 2011 data, FAS/Ottawa includes all provinces when estimating total GE corn area seeded. This is due to recent increases in provinces that have not traditionally grown corn. Most significantly, total corn area in Manitoba reached 172,000 hectares and equal to 12 percent of national corn area in 2018. Statistics Canada's [Table 001-0072](#) provides indications from farm surveys for corn in Ontario and Quebec only. FAS/Canada collected data on corn area planted in the Prairies from sources at the Manitoba Department of Agriculture, the Alberta Ministry of Agriculture, and from industry.

Sugar Beets

Sugar beets are commercially grown in Ontario and Alberta, one hundred percent of which are GE varieties. Consultations with industry experts reveal that area seeded to sugar beets in Alberta increased by four percent in 2018 to 10,900 hectares. Production area can vary significantly from one year to the next. Alberta sugar beets are refined at the Lantic Inc. facility in Taber, Alberta. The facility has an annual production capacity of approximately 150,000 metric tons (MT) of refined product, which can be fulfilled by contracts with approximately 400 sugar beet producers in Alberta.

Alfalfa

In spring 2016, Forage Genetics International LLC (FGI) began selling its GE alfalfa seed, designated as Event KK179, in Eastern Canada. The industry-developed and administered co-existence plan Canada stipulates that alfalfa grown in Eastern Canada must be cut before it blooms to avoid cross-pollination with non-GE varieties. Alfalfa is typically harvested at 50 percent bloom, because this makes the best quality livestock feed. The tradeoff between agronomic benefits and yield have limited growth of GE alfalfa in Eastern Canada; area planted to GE alfalfa in MY 2018/19 was estimated to be less than 5,000 acres. There was no GE alfalfa area planted in Western Canada in MY 2018/19, and the manufacturer has indicated no intention of westward expansion.

Wheat

There is no commercial production of GE wheat in Canada. For an overview of its history in Canada, please refer to GAIN report: [CA16053](#).

Flax

There is no commercial production of GE flax in Canada. However, an herbicide tolerant variety of GE flax was temporarily approved and commercialized in Canada for livestock feed in 1996 and for food in 1998. At that time, Canada's largest export market for flax was Belgium in the European Union. After European buyers indicated that they would not purchase GE or commingled flax, Canadian flax producers had the GE variety deregistered and pulled from the market in 2001. However, in 2009, the European Union detected a GE variety during inspection of a shipment, causing imports to cease and temporary loss of a large market. An overview of the current flax export statistics is available in the following section.

Apples

Three varieties of GE apples are currently approved for commercial planting purposes, livestock feed and food use in Canada: Arctic Golden Delicious, Arctic Granny Smith, and Arctic Fuji. As of 2018, there was no commercial production of any GE apple variety in Canada, but there are an estimated 240 hectares planted to Arctic apples in Washington State. Currently, Arctic apples are marketed predominately as consumer pack fresh apple slices in the United States. Industry sources indicate there are no plans to export to Canada in 2018 as U.S. demand exceeds current production, but exports may occur as U.S. production expands.


Potatoes

The J.R. Simplot Company has eight GE Innate potato (five first-generation and three "gen 2") varieties approved for commercial planting purposes, livestock feed and food use in Canada. Innate potato area planted in Canada in MY 2018/19 was estimated at approximately 80 hectares.

c) EXPORTS:

Canada exported 10.8 million metric tons (MMT) of canola, 3.2 MMT of canola oil, and 4.5 MMT of canola meal in MY 2017/18. The two largest canola oil exporting provinces in MY 2017/18 were Saskatchewan and Alberta, accounting for 48 percent and 31 percent of exports. Canola oil exports to the United States increased by an average of 12 percent every year since 2013, demonstrating the impact of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) approval of Canadian crops in U.S. biofuels as well as the increased demand for canola oil because of its lower saturated fat content in comparison with soybean oil (Figure 1).


Figure 1: Canola Oil Exports to China and the U.S.



Source: Global Trade Atlas

Saskatchewan and Alberta were also the two leading exporters of canola seed, accounting for 54 percent and 32 percent of exports in my 2017/18. Japan and Mexico are consistent importers of Canadian canola seed. China agreed to allow further imports into their crusher in Nantong in Jiangsu province in 2013, dramatically increasing their imports after giving their first inland plant permission to process Canadian canola seed (Figure 2).


Figure 2: Canola Seed Exports to Top Three Markets



Source: Global Trade Atlas

Canada also exported 0.16 MMT of soybean oil and 4.9 MMT of soybeans. Over the last six years, 73-94 percent of soybean oil exports have been destined for the United States (Figure 3). In MY 2017/18, South Korea imported 5 percent of Canada’s soybean oil exports. Canadian soybean oil exports have increased by 60 percent over the last six years.


Figure 3: Soybean Oil Exports to the World and the United States



Source: Global Trade Atlas

Close to 35 percent of Canada’s soybean exports, or 1.73 MMT, were destined for China in MY 2017/18. Canada’s soybean exports to China averaged 35 percent growth year-on-year from 2015 onwards. Ontario accounted for 42 percent of Canada’s soybean exports in MY 2017/18, achieving an average of 16 percent export growth year-on-year since MY 2013/14. In MY 2017/18, Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta accounted for 35 percent of Canada’s total soybean exports (Figure 4), up from virtually zero exports ten years ago.

Figure 4: Soybean Oil Exports, Percentage by Province




Source: Global Trade Atlas

Canada’s corn exports for MY 2017/18 were 1.8 MMT, with Ireland, the United States and Spain being the top importers. Corn exports were evenly distributed amongst the three top export markets for MY 2017/18. The top corn exporting provinces were Ontario and Quebec at 1.25 MMT and 0.49 MMT, accounting for 99 percent of total exports.

Canada exported roughly 0.52 MMT of flaxseed in MY 2017/18, with China accounting for 60 percent of total exports. Saskatchewan accounted for 76 percent of Canada’s flaxseed exports in MY 2017/18, or 0.40 MMT. Canada’s share of the valuable EU market in MY 2017/18 was just 15 percent of what it was in 2008. Since the 2009 detection of an unregistered GE flax variety in a shipment of Canadian flax to the European Union (EU), China has picked up a greater share of Canada’s flax exports (Figure 5). Canada’s total flax exports in MY 2017/18 were just 80 percent of what they were in 2008. Prior to this, 70 percent of Canada’s flax exports went to the EU, comprising a 57 percent share of the EU import market.

Figure 5: Flaxseed Exports to Belgium, China and the United States



Source: Global Trade Atlas

The announcement of a GE wheat variety detection in the prairies resulted in a temporary suspension of Canadian wheat exports in MY 2017/18. On June 14, 2018, the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) revealed a 2017 detection of a GE wheat variety on an agricultural access road in Alberta. The GE variety discovered exhibited a trait developed in research almost twenty years earlier in a different province. After the announcement, Japan and South Korea suspended the sale of Canadian wheat. The suspension of exports lasted less than one month; for an overview, see GAIN report [CA18042](#). The impact on Canadian wheat exports have been nominal. There are no GE wheat varieties approved for commercial production in Canada. Japan continues to test Canadian wheat imports.


d) IMPORTS:

Canada is an importer of GE crops and products, including grains and oilseeds, such as corn and soybeans. Industries such as ethanol production and the livestock feed industry import U.S. corn and soybeans. Canada imported 14,460 MT of canola oil, and 15,618 MT of canola meal in MY 2017/18. Ontario was the largest importer of canola oil from the United States at 11,600 MT, with the largest U.S. exporters being Illinois at 4,500 MT and Tennessee at 3,500 MT.

Canola meal imports into Canada are small given the large production domestically. Canola meal is an integral part of the ration of some livestock production systems in Canada. Imports from the United States come primarily from cross-border trade. Manitoba imported 7,600 MT, British Columbia imported 5,900 MT, and Quebec imported 1,700 MT of canola meal. Minnesota was the major supplier of canola meal to Manitoba, supplying 80 percent or just over 6,000 MT in MY 2017/18. Most of British Columbia’s imports were from Washington State.


Manitoba, Alberta, Ontario and British Columbia imported the most corn in MY 2017/18. The main suppliers of corn to Canadian provinces are North Dakota, Minnesota and Michigan. Manitoba imported over 350,000 MT of corn from North Dakota in MY 2017/18. Michigan supplied Ontario with over 150,000 MT of corn in MY 2017/18. Canada imported over 1.6 MMT of corn in MY 2017/18, with 1.5 MMT coming up from the United States. The long-term trend shows that Canada’s corn imports from the United States are decreasing over the past twenty years, coinciding with a steady increase in domestic corn production in Canada (Figure 7 and Figure 8)

Figure 6: Corn Imports From the United States



Source: Global Trade Atlas

Figure 7: Corn Production in Canada



Source: Statistics Canada (CANSIM 001-0017)

Canada also imported 487,000 MT of soybeans, 20,915 MT of soybean oil and 1.0 MMT of soybean meal. Over 80 percent of all soybean products are imported from the United States. Iowa, South Dakota, Minnesota and North Dakota are the primary exporters of soybean meal to Canada. Iowa alone supplied almost half of Canada’s imports of soybean meal, or 425,000 MT in MY 2017/18. Ontario imported the majority of their 330,000 MT of soybean meal from Iowa in MY 2017/18, and Manitoba imported the most of their 280,000 MT of soybean meal from South Dakota and Minnesota. Quebec also imported 25,000 MT of soybean meal from India in MY 2017/18.

e) TRADE BARRIERS:

There are no significant biotechnology-related trade barriers that negatively affect U.S. exports, or have the potential to do so in Canada. Canada's strong research system and proximity to the United States facilitate collaboration and advances in biotechnology.

Part B: Policy

a) REGULATORY FRAMEWORK:

Canada’s Regulatory System

Canada has an extensive science-based regulatory framework used in the approval process of agricultural products produced through biotechnology. Plants or products that are created with different or new traits from their conventional counterparts are referred to in the Canadian regulatory guidelines and legislation as plants with novel traits (PNTs) or novel foods.

Plants with novel traits are defined as:

- A plant variety/genotype possessing characteristics that demonstrate neither familiarity nor substantial equivalence to those present in a distinct, stable population of a cultivated seed in Canada and that have been intentionally selected, created or introduced into a population of that species through a specific genetic change. Plants included under this definition are plants that are produced using recombinant DNA (rDNA) techniques, chemical mutagenesis, cell fusion and conventional cross breeding.

A novel food is defined as:

- A substance, including a microorganism that does not have a history of safe use as a food.
- A food that has been manufactured, prepared, preserved or packaged by a process that has not been previously applied to that food, and causes the food to undergo a major change.
- A food that is derived from a plant, animal or microorganism that has been genetically modified such that the plant, animal or microorganism exhibits characteristics that were not previously observed in that plant, animal or microorganism; the plant, animal or microorganism no longer exhibits characteristics that were previously observed in that plant, animal or microorganism; or one or more characteristics of the plant, animal or microorganism no longer fall within the anticipated range for that plant, animal or microorganism.

The [Canadian Food Inspection Agency \(CFIA\)](#), [Health Canada \(HC\)](#) and [Environment and Climate Change Canada \(ECCC\)](#) are the three agencies responsible for the regulation and approval of products derived from biotechnology. The three agencies work together to monitor development of plants with novel traits, novel foods and all plants or products with new characteristics not previously used in agriculture and food production.

The CFIA is responsible for regulating the importation, environmental release, variety registration, and the use in livestock feeds of PNTs. HC is responsible for assessing the human health safety of foods, including novel foods, and approving their use in commerce. ECCC is responsible for administering the New Substances Notification Regulations and for performing environmental risk assessments of Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA) toxic substance, including organisms and microorganisms that may have been derived through biotechnology.

Table 2: Regulating Agencies and Relevant Legislation

Department/ Agency	Products Regulated	Relevant Legislation	Regulations
Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA)	Plants and seeds, including those with novel traits, Animals, Animals vaccines and biologics, Fertilizers, Livestock feeds	<i>Consumer Packaging and Labeling Act, Feeds Act, Fertilizer Act, Food and Drugs Act, Health of Animals Act, Seeds Act, Plant Protection Act</i>	<i>Feeds Regulations, Fertilizer Regulations, Health of Animals Regulations, Food and Drug Regulations</i>
Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC)	All animate products of biotechnology for uses not covered under other federal legislation (the legislative regulatory "safety net") Biotechnology products under CEPA, such as microorganisms used in bioremediation, Fish products of biotechnology, Waste disposal, mineral leaching or enhanced oil recovery	<i>Canadian Environmental Protection Act</i>	<i>New Substances Notification Regulations (Organisms)</i>
Environment and Climate Change Canada and Health Canada (Under a Memorandum of Understanding, Fisheries and Oceans Canada administers New Substance Notifications for fish products of biotechnology and undertake risk assessments)	Fish products of biotechnology	<i>Canadian Environmental Protection Act</i>	<i>New Substances Notification Regulations (Organisms)</i>

Department/ Agency	Products Regulated	Relevant Legislation	Regulations
Health Canada (HC)	Foods, Drugs, Cosmetics, Medical devices, Pest control products	<i>Food and Drugs Act, Canadian Environmental Protection Act, Pest Control Products Act</i>	<i>Cosmetics Regulations, Food and Drug Regulations, Novel Foods Regulations, Medical Devices Regulations, New Substances Notification Regulations, Pest Control Products Regulation</i>
Fisheries and Oceans Canada	Potential environmental release of transgenic aquatic organisms	<i>Fisheries Act</i>	Under development

Sources: Health Canada, Environment Canada, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Table 3: Regulating Agencies' Responsibilities

Category	CFIA	Health Canada	Environment Canada
Human Health & Food Safety			
Approval of novel foods		X	
Allergens		X	
Nutritional content		X	
Potential presence of toxins		X	
Food Labeling Policies			
Nutritional content		X	
Allergens		X	
Special dietary needs		X	
Fraud and consumer protection	X		
Safety Assessments			
Fertilizers	X		
Seeds	X		
Plants	X		
Animals	X		
Animal vaccines	X		
Animal feeds	X		
Testing Standards			
Guidelines for Testing Effects on Environment			X

Sources: Health Canada, Environment Canada, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Plants with novel traits are subjected to examination under Canada's regulatory process. The steps are:

- Scientists working with genetically engineered organisms, including the development of PNTs, adhere to Canadian Institute for Health Research directives, as well as the codes of practice of their own institutional biosafety committees. These guidelines protect the health and safety of laboratory staff and ensure environmental containment.
- The CFIA monitors all PNT field trials to comply with guidelines for environmental safety and to ensure confinement, so that the transfer of pollen to neighboring fields does not occur.
- The CFIA scrutinizes the transportation of seed to and from trial sites as well as the movement of all harvested plant material. The CFIA also strictly controls the importation of all seeds, living plants and plant parts, which includes plants containing novel traits.

At the time of writing, the CFIA has not yet released their [summary of all field trial breeding objectives by individual crop](#), which will be available in November 2018. The CFIA summary lists all new PNT submissions and field trials currently being conducted in Canada. In 2017, Canada had 50 PNT submissions and 137 field trials, primarily of wheat and canola, compared to 72 submissions and 173 field trials in 2016. However, industry stakeholders express that very little new development is happening in Canada due to regulatory procedures, which may be causing investment to go to other regions.

Before any PNT is permitted to be grown outside of confined trials, CFIA must complete an environmental safety assessment focusing on:

- Potential for movement of the novel trait to related plant species
- Impact on non-target organisms (including insects, birds and mammals)
- Impact on biodiversity
- Potential for weed infestations arising from the introduced trait(s)
- Potential for the novel plant to become a plant pest

The CFIA evaluates all livestock feeds for safety and efficacy, including nutritional value, toxicity and stability. Data submitted for novel feeds include a description of the organism and genetic modification, intended use, environmental impact and potential for the gene (or metabolic) products to reach the human food chain. Safety aspects cover the animal eating the feed, consumption of the animal product by humans, worker safety and any environmental impacts related to use of the feed.

Health Canada is responsible for assessing food with no previous history of safe use or food that is manufactured by a new process that causes a significant change in composition or is derived from an organism genetically modified to possess novel trait(s). Health Canada developed the Guidelines for the Safety Assessment of Novel Foods, Volumes I and II, in consultation with experts from the international community, including the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

Using the Guidelines for the Safety Assessment of Novel Foods, Health Canada examines:

- How the food crop was developed, including molecular biological data

- Composition of the novel food, compared to non-modified counterparts
- Nutritional data for the novel food, compared to non-modified counterparts
- Potential for new toxins
- Potential for causing any allergic reaction
- Dietary exposure by the average consumer and population sub-groups (such as children)

Canada's system of registration for newly developed crop varieties ensures that only varieties with proven benefits are sold. Once approved for use in field trials, varieties are evaluated in regional field trials. Plant varieties produced through biotechnology cannot be registered and sold in Canada until authorized for environmental, livestock feed and food safety.

Once environmental, feed and food safety authorizations are granted, the PNT and feed and food products derived from it can enter the marketplace but are still subject to the same regulatory scrutiny that applies to all conventional products in Canada. In addition, any new information arising about the safety of a PNT or its food products must be reported to government regulators who, upon further investigation, may amend or revoke authorization and/or immediately remove the product(s) from the marketplace.

The timeline from development to the point at which the product has been approved for human consumption generally takes between seven to ten years. In some instances, the process has taken longer than 10 years.

In order to maintain the integrity of Canada's regulatory system, several advisory committees have been established to monitor and advise the government of current and future regulatory needs. The Canadian Biotechnology Advisory Committee (CBAC) was established in 1999 to advise the government on ethical, social, scientific, economic, regulatory, environmental and health aspects. The mandate of the Canadian Biotechnology Advisory Committee (CBAC) ended on May 17, 2007. The government replaced the CBAC with the [Science, Technology and Innovation Council](#), as part of a broader effort to consolidate external advisory committees and strengthen the role of independent expert advisors. The Council is an advisory body that provides the Government of Canada with external policy advice on science and technology issues, and it produces regular national reports that measure Canada's science and technology performance against international standards of excellence.

In May 2015, the Science, Technology and Innovation Council released its fourth public report, entitled [State of the Nation 2014 - Canada's Science, Technology and Innovation System](#), which tracked the progress on innovation in Canada since the first report from 2009. [State of the Nation 2008 - Canada's Science, Technology and Innovation System](#) was the first report issued by the Council which benchmarked Canada's science, technology and innovation system against the world's innovating countries. There have been no new public reports since the change of Government in 2015.

Additional information on how biotechnology is regulated in Canada can be found on these websites:

CFIA:

<http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english/sci/biotech/bioteche.shtml>

Health Canada:

<http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/sr-sr/biotech/index-eng.php>

<http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/gmf-agm/index-eng.php>

Environment Canada:

<http://www.ec.gc.ca/subsnouvelles-news/subs/default.asp?lang=En&n=AB189605-1>

<http://www.ec.gc.ca/subsnouvelles-news/subs/default.asp?lang=En&n=E621534F-1>

b) APPROVALS:

Since the 2017 biotechnology report, CFIA has approved the following submissions:

Table 4: CFIA Approvals

Product / Designation	LMO Status	Applicant at time of application	Novel Trait(s)	CFIA			Health Canada - Food Safety Approval
				Approval for un-confined release into the environment	Approval for use as livestock feed	Variety Registration	
Canola MS11	LMO	Bayer CropScience Inc.	Male sterile herbicide tolerant	Yes (Jan 30, 2018)	Yes (Jan 30, 2018)	n/a	Yes (Jan 30, 2018)
Apple NF872	LMO	Okanagan Specialty Fruits Inc.	"Non-browning"	Yes (Jan 30, 2018)	Yes (Jan 30, 2018)	n/a	Yes (Jan 30, 2018)
Rice GR2E	LMO	International Rice Research Institute	Higher levels of provitamin A	-	-	n/a	Yes (March, 16, 2018)
Sugarcane CTC175-A	LMO	Centro de Tecnologia Canavieira	Insect-resistant	-	-	n/a	Yes (March, 23, 2018)

Source: CFIA

[Information on recent submissions](#) can be found on the CFIA website. Please refer to the [CFIA PNT database](#) for more information on the status of regulated plants with novel traits in Canada, including

whether products have been approved for unconfined environmental release, novel livestock feed use, and variety registration.

c) STACKED or PYRAMIDED EVENT APPROVALS:

Similar to these new varieties, many stacked products, defined in Canada as plant lines developed by conventional crossing of two or more authorized PNTs, do not require further assessment of their environmental safety. Developers of plants with stacked traits, which were created from previously authorized PNTs, are required to notify the CFIA's Plant Biosafety Office (PBO) at least 60 days prior to the anticipated date of the environmental release of these plants. Following notification, the PBO may issue a letter (within 60 days of notification) informing the developer of any concerns it may have regarding the proposed unconfined environmental release.

The PBO may also request and review data to support the safe use of the modified plant in the environment. Stacking of traits with potentially incompatible management requirements, possible negative synergistic effects, or where production of the plant may be extended to a new area of the country, may require an environmental safety assessment. Until all environmental safety concerns have been resolved, the modified plant should not be released in the environment.

However, as a precaution, the PBO requires notification of all stacked products before they are introduced into the marketplace. These notifications are required so that regulators may determine if:

- Any conditions of authorization placed on the parental PNTs are compatible and appropriate for the stacked plant produce
- Additional information is required to assess the safety of the stacked plant product.

Additional information and further assessment will be required if:

- The conditions of authorization of the parental PNTs would not apply to the stack (for example, a product developed is applying for alterations to stewardship requirements, or the conditions described in the stewardship plans of parental PNTs are no longer effective for the stack)
- The novel traits of the parental PNTs are expressed differently in the stacked plant product (e.g. greater or lower expression)
- The stacked product expresses an additional novel trait.

Follow this [link](#) for a list of stacked products authorized for unconfined release into the Canadian environment.

d) FIELD TESTING:

An overview of PNT submission and field trials is not yet available from CFIA for 2018. In 2017, Canada had 50 PNT submissions and 137 field trials, primarily of wheat and canola, compared to 72 submissions and 173 field trials in 2016. A [summary of all 2018 field trial breeding objectives by individual crop](#) will be available on the CFIA website in November 2018.

e) INNOVATIVE BIOTECHNOLOGIES:

In Canada, all innovative biotechnologies are regulated on a case-by-case basis by CFIA, HC and ECCC. Products are subject to product-based regulatory oversight by these agencies, in the same way as conventional biotechnologies.

f) COEXISTENCE:

In Canada, the coexistence of GE and non-GE crops is not regulated by the government, but rather the onus is on the producers. For example, if producers of organic crops wish to exclude GE events from their production systems, then the implementation of measures to do so falls on the organic crop producer. Non-GE producers are able to charge a premium price for their product, having incurred costs associated with meeting the requirements of their customers and certification bodies.

Biotechnology stewardship conditions apply to GE crops in Canada, with some companies providing GE crop farmers with coexistence recommendations for minimizing the chances of adventitious presence of GE crop material found in non-GE crops of the same species. In addition, producers of GE crops are provided with weed management practice guides. These changes in management practices may help to improve the coexistence between GE and non-GE crops, without the need to introduce government regulations. For example, Croplife Canada has developed the “Stewardshipfirst” initiatives in order to manage the health, safety and environmental sustainability of the industry’s products throughout their life cycle. “Stewardshipfirst” includes a Best Management Practices Guide for Growers of GE crops.

g) LABELING:

In 2004, the Standards Council of Canada adopted the Standard for Voluntary Labeling and Advertising of Foods that Are and Are Not Products of Genetic Engineering, as a National Standard of Canada. The development of the voluntary standard was carried out by a multi-stakeholder committee, facilitated by the Canadian General Standards Board (CGSB), at the request of the Canadian Council of Grocery Distributors, and began in November 1999. The committee was made up of 53 voting members and 75 non-voting members from producers, manufacturers, distributors, consumers, general interest groups and six federal government departments, including Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Health Canada and the CFIA.

Health Canada and the CFIA are responsible for all federal food labeling policies under the Food and Drugs Act. Health Canada is responsible for setting food labeling policies with regard to health and safety matters, while the CFIA is responsible for development of non-health and safety food labeling regulations and policies. It is the CFIA’s responsibility to protect consumers from misrepresentation and from fraud in food labeling, packaging and advertising, and for prescribing basic food labeling and advertising requirements applicable to all foods.

The Standard for Voluntary Labeling and Advertising of Foods that Are and Are Not Products of Genetic Engineering was developed to provide customers with consistent information for making informed food choices while providing labeling and advertising guidance for food companies,

manufacturers and importers. The definition of GE food provided by the Standard are those foods obtained through the use of specific techniques that allow the moving of genes from one species to another. The regulations outlined in the Standard are:

- The labeling of food and advertising claims pertaining to the use or non-use of genetic engineering are permissible as long as the claims are truthful, not misleading, not deceptive, not likely to create an erroneous impression of a food's character, value, composition, merit or safety, and in compliance with all other regulatory requirements set out in the Food and Drugs Act, the Food and Drugs Regulations, the Consumer Packaging and Labeling Act and Consumer Packaging and Labeling Regulations, the Competition Act and any other relevant legislation, as well as the Guide to Food Labeling and Advertising.
- The Standard does not imply the existence of health or safety concerns for products within its scope.
- When a labeling claim is made, the level of accidental co-mingling of genetically engineered and non-genetically engineered food is less than 5 percent.
- The Standard applies to the voluntary labeling and advertising of food in order to distinguish whether or not such foods are products of genetic engineering or contain or do not contain ingredients that are products of genetic engineering, irrespective of whether the food or ingredient contains DNA or protein.
- The Standard defines terms and sets out criteria for claims and for their evaluation and verification.
- The Standard applies to food sold to consumers in Canada, regardless of whether it is produced domestically or imported.
- The Standard applies to the labeling and advertising of food sold prepackaged or in bulk, as well as to food prepared at the point of sale.
- The Standard does not preclude, override, or in any way change legally required information, claims or labeling, or any other applicable legal requirements.
- The Standard does not apply to processing aids, enzymes used in small quantities, substrates for microorganisms, veterinary biologics and animal feeds.

Despite nearly 15 years of implementation of the voluntary standard, some groups in Canada continue to push for mandatory labeling of genetically engineered food. Several private members' bills have been introduced into the House of Commons seeking to require the mandatory labeling of foods containing GE components, although none have made it past a second reading, in which Members have an opportunity to debate the scope and principle of a bill before voting on it.

Most recently, in May 2017, a member of the National Democratic Party put forward a private members bill, [Bill C-291](#), to require the mandatory labeling of foods containing GE components; it failed to secure enough votes at a second reading of the bill. As a result, it never made it past the second reading.

In Canada, products of GE crops (e.g. soybean oil) can be labeled as "non-GMO." The [Canadian General Standards Board](#) states that foods derived from genetically engineered crops like corn, soy and canola oil contain virtually undetectable amounts of genetic material or protein made from the genetic

material. In other words, soybean oil producers may continue to label their oil as “non-GMO,” even if the soybeans the oil is produced from are a GE variety, as long as the end product (the oil) is not distinguishable from oil produced from non-GE soybeans. While Monsanto, for example, may be required to label oil produced from their Vistive Gold soybeans as GE, because the company makes the claim that the soybean oil contains higher levels of oleic acid.

h) MONITORING AND TESTING:

Canada does not have a monitoring program for GE products and does not actively test for GE products.

i) LOW LEVEL PRESENCE (LLP):

In recent years, the issue of low level presence (LLP) has become increasingly important for Canada. LLP refers to the incidental presence of tiny amounts of a GE material mixed in with a non-GE product. It specifically refers to cases in which the GE material has been approved in the exporting country but not the importing country. In September 2009, routine testing indicated trace amounts of a GE variety, Triffid, in Canadian flax imported into the European Union. As a result, Canada's flax trade to the EU was disrupted for over a year and has been slow to resume to its previous levels. Prior to the disruption, in CY 2008 Canada supplied 57 percent of European imports of flax. This flax case is an example noted by Canada of an instance in which LLP caused major trade disruptions, because of the European Union's zero-tolerance policy for GE crops.

Canada has stated that zero-tolerance policies are not realistic, particularly given the increasing sophistication and sensitivity of testing capabilities. Domestically, various industry stakeholders are working with regulators to establish an LLP policy in which maximum amounts of GE material would be established for biotechnology events that are not approved in Canada and which are to be allowed in Canadian imports. The Government of Canada has explored various approaches where LLP occurrences could be managed to increase trade predictability and transparency. The Policy Model has been summarized [here](#), and their factsheet can be accessed [here](#).

Internationally, Canada is working with a group of interested countries, known as the Global Low Level Presence Initiative (GLI), to develop a global solution to the issue of LLP. The GLI was initiated by Canada (the secretariat and co-chair) and now has representation from 14 major grain exporting and importing countries/regions and four observer countries and regions. In March 2012, industry and government officials from the United States, Mexico, Costa Rica, Chile, Uruguay, Paraguay, Brazil, Argentina, South Africa, Russia, Vietnam, Indonesia, the Philippines, Australia and New Zealand met in Vancouver to discuss LLP. At that meeting, the Canadian agriculture minister underscored the importance of a regulatory approach that keeps pace with agricultural innovation and indicated Canada's willingness to be a leader and facilitator in LLP discussions at the international level. Canada's international engagement continues, and incremental steps are being made towards achieving the goal of establishing a global solution to the LLP problem.

j) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR):

The Patent Act and the Plant Breeders' Rights Act both afford breeders or owners of new varieties the ability to collect technology fees or royalties on their products. The Patent Act grants patents that cover

the gene in the plant or the process used to incorporate the gene but does not provide a patent on the plant itself. The protection of the plant would be covered by the Plant Breeders' Rights (PBR) Act. The Plant Breeders' Rights (PBR) Act grants plant breeders of new varieties the exclusive rights to produce and sell propagating material of the variety in Canada. The PBR Act states that the holder of the plant breeders' rights is able to collect royalties on the product. The Patent Act enables breeders to sell their product commercially to producers. The cost of the patented product will most likely include technology fees. This enables the breeders to recover the financial investment made in developing their product.

In the fall of 2013, Canada introduced into Parliament Bill C-18, the Agricultural Growth Act, which seeks, among other things, to toughen enforcement of intellectual property rights for the creation or development of plant varieties. On February 25, 2015 Bill C-18 became law so that Canada's PBR Act is now harmonized with the 1991 International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants Convention (UPOV). While Canada became a signatory to the 1991 UPOV Convention in 1992, the PBR Act, which became law in Canada in 1990, only adhered to the requirements of the 1978 revision of the International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plant. More on this development can be found in the March 2015 GAIN report [CA15021](#).

k) CARTAGENA PROTOCOL RATIFICATION:

In 2001, Canada signed onto the Cartagena Protocol, but has yet to ratify it. There is opposition from many farm groups, like the Canadian Canola Council, the Grain Growers of Canada, Viterra and many others, to the ratification of the Protocol. There are also those groups like the National Farmers Union and Greenpeace, which are pushing the government to ratify it. The consultations have resulted in three options on how the government should proceed being put forward:

- Proceed to immediate ratification of the Protocol with the intent to participate as a Party in the first meeting of the Parties;
- Keep the decision on ratification under active review while continuing to participate in Protocol processes as a non-Party and acting voluntarily in a manner that is consistent with the objective of the Protocol;
- Decide not to ratify the Protocol.

The position the Government of Canada has taken follows along the line of the second option and industry sources indicate that this is likely to remain the course. Canada and Canadian industries rely heavily on imports of United States crops to meet their requirements. Therefore, the ratification of the Cartagena Protocol could become a barrier to trade with the United States.

l) INTERNATIONAL TREATIES and FORUMS:

Canada leads a group of countries working collaboratively to develop a globally accepted solution to LLP. For more details, please see section i). Canada is a strong advocate for the Like-Minded (LM) Group Supportive of Innovative Agricultural Production Technologies.

Part C: Marketing

a) PUBLIC/PRIVATE OPINIONS / MARKET ACCEPTANCE/STUDIES:

Dalhousie University in Halifax published a report on Canadian attitudes towards biotechnology in food on May 24, 2018. A link to the preliminary results can be accessed [here](#). The study measures Canadian attitudes towards genetic engineering in food as well trust toward food safety and the regulatory system in Canada. Results show that 70 percent of respondents strongly agreed that GE food and ingredients should be labeled in Canada. One other result of the study is that Canadians are generally unsure as to whether their food has GE ingredients, with roughly 50 percent saying they are unsure either way. Canadians also appear to be more concerned about animal biotechnology associated with livestock and less with aquatic life, such as the new GE AquAdvantage Salmon approved for consumption in Canada.

CHAPTER 2: ANIMAL BIOTECHNOLOGY

The regulatory framework for animal biotechnology in Canada is designed to assess and protect human and animal health and safety and environmental safety. Provided that assessments do not indicate any concerns or risks with those objectives, a GE animal, once approved for environmental release, and a GE animal product, once approved as feed or food, are treated no differently than the respective conventional animal or animal product under Canada's regulatory process. Regardless of the technological process involved in raising, growing, producing or manufacturing, all animals and animal products are subject to the same requirements and regulations when it comes to environmental and plant protection, animal and human health and feed and food safety.

Currently, there is no commercial production of a GE animal in Canada, however, GE salmon has been approved as food and animal feed and commercial production facilities are under construction in Canada. Clones, derived from nuclear transfer from embryonic and somatic cells, their offspring and the products derived from clones and their offspring would be subject to the same requirements and regulations as those applicable to GE animals and GE animal products. Health Canada has maintained an [interim policy](#) on this issue since 2003, and currently captures these food products under the novel foods definition.

Part D: Production and Trade

a) PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT:

Semex, a Canadian bovine genetics company, announced a partnership with U.S. biotechnology company, Recombinetics, in May 2018 to develop a [precision breeding program](#) to introduce polled (hornless) genetics into elite dairy lines. Disbudding calves and dehorning cattle are common practices in cow-calf and dairy operations, for economic and/or safety reasons. Polled (hornless) lines of genetics would eliminate the need for horn removal. The developers have consulted Canadian regulators, but there has not yet been a regulatory review of the proposed innovation.

b) COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION:

AquAdvantage Salmon

Currently, AquaBounty produces sterile, pressure-shocked female AquAdvantage Salmon eggs at its land-based facility in Prince Edward Island for export to a land-based, hatchery and grow-out facility in Panama. At present, Canada is the sole market for AquAdvantage Salmon for human consumption. AquaBounty has plans to increase commercial production through the construction of a grow-out facility in PEI that would be capable of producing approximately 250 MT of salmon per year. Plans call for Canadian-produced product to be ready to ship to retailers by late 2019. This facility would enable AquaBounty to achieve a level of commercial production in Canada with greater capacity and will most likely result in cessation of imports from the Panamanian facility. Additionally, a facility has been acquired in Albany, Indiana that would be capable of producing approximately 1,200 MT per year with plans for expansion to 6,000MT. AquaBounty is also pursuing regulatory approval of AquAdvantage Salmon in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, and China.

Canadian consumer perception of GE fish and seafood was measured in a [recent Dalhousie University study](#) that captured attitudes towards genetic engineering in food, for both plant-based and livestock-based foods, as well as trust of the Canadian food safety regulatory system.

AquAdvantage Salmon has been approved for animal feed uses by CFIA but reportedly has not been used in animal feed in Canada to date. This is primarily due to the location of the grow-out facility in Panama. Only muscle meat is exported to Canada at this time due to the expense of shipping to Canada. Once commercial production is achieved in Canada the by products may be made available to the feed industry in Canada.

c) EXPORTS:

Approximately 5,000 GE Salmon eggs were exported to Panama for grow-out in 2017.

d) IMPORTS:

In 2017, Canada imported approximately 10 MT of AquAdvantage Salmon from Panama according to reports. Sources indicate that import levels were similar in 2018.

e) TRADE BARRIERS:

There are no known trade barriers.

Part E: Policy

a) REGULATORY FRAMEWORK:

In Canada, products of animal biotechnology may be defined and regulated as novel foods. According to the [Food and Drug Regulations](#), a novel food is defined as:

- a substance, including a microorganism, that does not have a history of safe use as a food;
- a food that has been manufactured, prepared, preserved or packaged by a process that
 - i) has not been previously applied to that food, and
 - ii) causes the food to undergo a major change; and
- a food that is derived from a plant, animal or microorganism that has been genetically modified such that
 - i) the plant, animal or microorganism exhibits characteristics that were not previously observed in that plant, animal or microorganism,
 - ii) the plant, animal or microorganism no longer exhibits characteristics that were previously observed in that plant, animal or microorganism, or
 - iii) one or more characteristics of the plant, animal or microorganism no longer fall within the anticipated range for the plant, animal or microorganism [B.28.001, FDR].

A major change is defined as a change to the food that would result in that food now having characteristics outside of the accepted limits of natural variation in regard to its composition, structure,

nutritional quality, the way it is metabolized, and/or that impacts the microbiological or chemical safety of the food.

[Environment and Climate Change Canada](#), [Health Canada](#), and, in the case of aquatic species, the [Department of Fisheries and Oceans](#) are the three government bodies responsible for assessing and first point of approval for biotechnology derived animals. ECCC is responsible for monitoring and evaluating any environmental impacts, HC is responsible for monitoring and evaluating food safety, and the Department of Fisheries and Oceans is involved when there are any implications towards aquatic species or environments.

Regulation surrounding the use of animal clones and progeny of animal clones developed through somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) for food has been in place since the development of the [Food Directorate of Health Canada](#) in 2003. According to this policy, all clones and progeny of clones developed through SCNT are classified as novel foods and subject to the novel food regulations contained within the Food and Drug Regulations [B.28]. As more evidence becomes available concerning food safety implications of SCNT derived products, Health Canada will re-evaluate their standing accordingly.

In 1999, the [New Substances Notification Regulations \(Organisms\)](#), under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, were released to evaluate the toxicity status of any new animal biotechnologies before they could be released into the Canadian market. This process is administered by Environment and Climate Change Canada with new submissions through the [New Substances Notification package](#). Sources have indicated to FAS/Ottawa that provincial governments are deferring exclusively to the federal legislation on GE and biotechnologically derived animals with no present timeline to develop province-specific legislation on this topic.

The [Canadian Food Inspection Agency](#) evaluates animals derived from biotechnology as it pertains to animal health; this applies to the health of the animal derived from biotechnology as well as any implications on health to other animals in Canada either through contact or use of products from the animal derived from biotechnology in feeds or veterinary biologics for other animals.

Table 5: Legislative Responsibility for the [Regulation of Animal Biotechnology](#)

Product	Agency	Act	Regulation
Foods and drugs derived through biotechnology	Health Canada	Food and Drugs Act	Food and Drug Regulations (Novel Foods)
Veterinary biologics	CFIA	Health of Animals Act	Health of Animals Regulations

Product	Agency	Act	Regulation
Feeds	CFIA	Feeds Act	Feeds Regulations
Fish products of biotechnology	Environment Canada Health Canada Department of Fisheries and Oceans (via a memorandum of understanding)	Canadian Environmental Protection Act	New Substances Notification Regulations (Organisms)
All animal products not covered under other federal legislation	Environment Canada Health Canada	Canadian Environmental Protection Act	New Substances Notification Regulations (Organisms)

* Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, and Natural Resources Canada do not act in a regulatory capacity regarding animal biotechnology but do act in an advisory function to the regulating agencies on non-regulatory implications such as trade and market access.

b) APPROVALS:

Canada has approved a GE salmon. The link for all novel food decisions from Health Canada can be found at:

<https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products.html>

c) INNOVATIVE BIOTECHNOLOGIES:

Canada regulates the commercial use, registration, and licensing of any biotechnology derived animal products. Information on these regulatory processes can be found in Part E, section a, Regulatory Framework. Currently FAS/Ottawa is unaware of any regulation of the development of novel biotechnology techniques for animals, assuming developers are compliant with the [Canadian Environmental Protection Act](#) and the [New Substances Notification Regulations](#).

d) LABELING AND TRACEABILITY:

Canadian food labeling policies are governed by the Food and Drugs Act and Food and Drugs Regulations. Health Canada and CFIA carry joint responsibility according to these policies, with Health Canada holding responsibility over labeling concerning nutritional content, special dietary needs, and allergens while CFIA is responsible for labeling related to non-health and safety food labeling as well as enforcing all food labeling legislation. Currently, Canada has two standards for labeling of GE animals, GE products, and clones. Health Canada can require mandatory labeling for a GE food or product if there are significant health or safety concerns that labeling could mitigate or in the case of highlighting a significant nutritional composition change. Unless specifically mandated by Health Canada, GE food or products can choose to voluntarily label by following the [Voluntary Labelling and Advertising of Foods That Are and Are Not Products of Genetic Engineering](#) standards.

In May 2017, a member of the National Democratic Party put forward a private members bill, [Bill C-291](#), to require the mandatory labeling of foods containing GE components; it failed to secure enough votes at a second reading of the bill. Further explanation can be found in [Part B](#) of this report.

Currently, FAS/Ottawa is unaware of any traceability requirements specific to GE-derived animals or animal products once approved by Canada's regulatory agencies, however, the proposed [Safe Food for Canadians Regulations](#) contain provisions for traceability requirements for all food products in Canada.

e) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR):

Intellectual property rights for animal biotechnologies in Canada can be protected under three different acts:

- [Patent Act](#)
- [Copyright Act](#)
- [Trade-marks Act](#)

Additionally, Canada has the [Animal Pedigree Act](#), whereby a breed association may become incorporated and be governed by the Act in instances where they are representing a distinct breed(s) or an evolving breed(s) which have significant value.

f) INTERNATIONAL TREATIES and FORUMS:

Canada previously was part of the now dissolved Codex Alimentarius Commission Task Force on Foods Derived from Biotechnology through Health Canada's activities with the Commission. Canada is also part of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and Health Canada participates on the OECD Task Force for the Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds. Additionally, Canada is a member of the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE). To date, Canada has not officially declared a position on animal biotechnologies but does allow for the importation, production, and sale of approved animal biotechnologies as well as engaging in research. Canada also supports the [Joint Statement on Innovative Agricultural Production Technologies](#).

Part F: Marketing

a) PUBLIC/PRIVATE OPINIONS:

Canada has groups lobbying the government against GE animals; most notable is the [Canadian Biotechnology Action Network](#), which has organic and ecological farming groups, environmental groups, and international anti-GE groups amongst its members. Popular press and social media would indicate a wide spectrum of opinions from Canadian consumers surrounding GE products as well as varying levels of understanding of biotechnology. However, a [Nielsen Consumer Insights](#) survey of Canadians' perceptions towards biotechnology indicated that 88 percent of respondents had a positive or neutral view towards biotechnology although only 46 percent indicated that they were familiar with GE animals. When specifically questioned on GE animals, respondents raised concerns around morals and ethics considering GE animals as potentially having greater associated risks compared to other GE technologies. A recent [Angus Reid](#) polling survey noted that 83 percent of Canadians surveyed would like to see at least some GE products labeled. A 2018 study from the [University of Dalhousie](#) on biotechnology noted similar findings: 70 percent of respondents indicated that GMO food and ingredients should be labeled with 38 percent of respondents indicating they believed GMO foods were safe while 35 percent believed they were not safe.

In 2016, the House of Commons Standing Committee on Agriculture and Agri-Food initiated a study on Genetically Modified Animals for Human Consumption the results of which were delivered in [April 2017](#). There have been no major developments since. Four key recommendations were identified by the committee:

1. The Government of Canada should provide greater transparency of the regulatory system evaluating genetically modified animals intended for human consumption.
2. The Government of Canada should provide support for independent research into the health, environmental and other effects of new genetic modification technologies.
3. The Government of Canada should support the mandatory labeling of genetically modified organisms only for issues of food health and safety.
4. The Government of Canada should work with industry to establish tools to provide traceability for genetically modified animals.

b) MARKET ACCEPTANCE/STUDIES:

Currently major retail grocery chains such as Metro, IGA, and Provigo have stated that they will not be selling GE products at their seafood counters, while Costco, Walmart, and Loblaws have indicated they currently have no plans to sell GE seafood [when questioned about retail sales of AquAdvantage Salmon](#). To date, FAS/Ottawa is not aware of any formal market acceptance studies for GE animals.